Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/590,572	YOSHIDA, SEISHIN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dov Popovici	2625
All Participants: Status of Application: RCE filed after allowance - Docketed New Case		
(1) <u>Dov Popovici</u> .	(3)	
(2) Albert Penilla (Reg. No. 39,487).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 August 2010	Time: <u>1:58 P.M.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 101		
Claims discussed: 17		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: An examiner's amendment was discussed and agreed to. Claim 17 has been amended by examiner's amendment at lines 1 and 3, by addingnon-transitory in order to overcome a rejection under 35 USC 101 (see the attached examiner's amendment).		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Dov Popovici/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625	(Applicant/Applicant's Representation	tive Signature – if appropriate)